

# Spin dynamics and magnon linewidth in the long wavelength limit in diluted magnetic systems

**Akash Chakraborty<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Stefan Kettemann<sup>2,3</sup>, and Georges Bouzerar<sup>3,4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Jacobs University Bremen, 28759 Bremen, Germany*

<sup>3</sup>*Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 790-784, South Korea*

<sup>4</sup>*Institut Néel, CNRS, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 09, France*

\*e-mail: a.chakraborty@jacobs-university.de

Spin wave excitations in disordered magnetic systems has been one of the most widely studied fields in condensed matter physics for several decades now. However, a careful and extensive search reveals a longstanding controversy on one important aspect, which is the wavevector dependence of the spin wave intrinsic linewidth. Many different theories have predicted this dependence to be as varied as  $\mathbf{q}^3$  to  $\mathbf{q}^7$ , but no general agreement has prevailed till now. We give here a detailed analysis of the low-temperature spin wave excitations in disordered (diluted) ferromagnetic systems and show that in the long wavelength limit the linewidth is in fact proportional to  $\mathbf{q}^5$ . This is in agreement with some previous theoretical studies on Heisenberg ferromagnets, which predicted a  $\mathbf{q}^{d+2}$  dependence ( $d$  is the dimensionality). The linewidth is extracted from a proper finite size analysis of the magnon spectral functions, taking into account the effects of disorder and spin fluctuations. One of the primary difficulties in extracting the correct wave-vector dependence is the fact that the  $\mathbf{q}^5$  behavior holds only for sufficiently small  $\mathbf{q}$  values. This possibly explains the failure to observe this behavior experimentally, where it is often impossible to reach such small  $\mathbf{q}$  values. In addition, we also demonstrate that evaluating the linewidth from the moments associated with the magnon spectral density is incorrect, as it leads to a linear dependence in  $\mathbf{q}$ .